

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/643,077	NAKAZAWA ET AL.
	Examiner Nguyen T. Vo	Art Unit 2685

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Nguyen T. Vo.

(3) _____.

(2) H. J. Staas.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2, 3, 5

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed minor errors in Abstract, claims 2, 3 and 5 in order to place the file in condition for allowance. A preliminary amendment filed on 2/2/2006 incorporates the changes that correct the errors as indicated during the telephone interview.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)